UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.    | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/603,115         | 06/24/2003     | Ni Ding              | 10177-191-999       | 4829             |
| 20583<br>JONES DAY | 7590 04/25/200 | 8                    | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 222 EAST 41S       |                |                      | GANESAN, SUBA       |                  |
| NEW YORK, NY 10017 |                |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                    |                |                      | 3774                |                  |
|                    |                |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                    |                |                      |                     |                  |
|                    |                |                      | 04/25/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Intonsious Summons  | 10/603,115  | DING ET AL.  |   |
|---|---|--|---|
| Interview Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |   |
|   | SUBA GANESAN  | 3774   |   |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO  | personnel):   |  |   |
| (1) <u>SUBA GANESAN</u> .   | (3) <u>CATHY CHIN ENG</u> .   |  |   |
| (2) <u>WILLIAM (HOWIE) MATTHEWS</u> .   | (4) <u>ANTHONY MUSTILLO</u> .   |  |   |
| Date of Interview: <u>01 April 2008</u> .   |   |  |   |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2  | 2)∏ applicant's representative  | ·]   |   |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:   | e)⊠ No.   |  |   |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>111-170</u> .  |   |  |   |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>BERG 5,464,650</u> .  |   |  |   |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g  | )⊠ was not reached. h)□ N   | //A.   |   |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Applicants argued that Be elutable material or a topcoat made of a different material of amendments and reconsider the rejection under Berg.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERLIE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet. | erg does not disclose a topcoarrom the undercoat. Examiner  ments which the examiner agropy of the amendments that wid.)  CTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, | at substantially fragreed to consider the reed would render the substance ( SUBSTANCE ( been filed, APP ( DAYS FROM TOWNICHEVER IS | ee of an ler the claims claims  OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO |
| _   | /Suba Ganesan/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 3774<br>Examiner's signature, if requir  | red  |   |

Application No.

Applicant(s)